

# English Speech Timing: A Domain and Locus Approach

Laurence White

PhD

The University of Edinburgh

2002



## Abstract

This dissertation presents a descriptive framework for suprasyllabic processes in speech timing, and describes speech production experiments that investigate durational processes at the word level and the utterance level and the interaction of these processes with the effects of pitch accent. The experimental evidence suggests a model of a speech timing comprised of localised effects, in contrast with the diffuse processes typical of accounts that focus on the rhythmic organisation of speech.

Within the descriptive framework, two types of process are associated with the domain, a familiar concept in prosodic phonology. Domain-edge processes lengthen segments near the initial and final boundaries of constituents: for example, word-initial lengthening and utterance-final lengthening. Domain-span processes are hypothesised to arise from an inverse relationship between the size of some constituent and the duration of some subconstituent: for example, word-span compression (polysyllabic shortening) and utterance-span compression.

The particular segments affected by each domain-edge or domain-span process are termed the "locus": for example, the word is a domain of initial lengthening and the locus is the word-initial syllable onset. It is hypothesised that each process is associated with a locus defined in phonological terms, and that processes may be distinguished by their distinct loci. The experimental work examines the loci of durational effects, indicating support for domain-edge processes—but not domain-span processes—at the word level and the utterance level.

Utterance-final lengthening is found to be progressive, affecting syllable codas and the final syllable nucleus within a word-rhyme locus. These results contradict the idea of a gradual deceleration in speech at the end of utterances. Utterance-initial shortening suggests that where the boundary cue is the termination of the preceding silence there is an absence of the hierarchical lengthening demonstrated word-initially and phrase-initially. There is no evidence of an utterance-span effect.

Word-initial lengthening is supported, with a syllable onset locus, as indicated by previous results. Word-initial lengthening is found not to interact with accentual lengthening, and may be attenuated in polysyllables.

Polysyllabic shortening, a domain-span process at the word level, is not supported. The previously-observed effect arises from variation in the distribution of accentual lengthening between monosyllables, disyllables and trisyllables. The locus of accentual lengthening is shown to be the word, with the greatest lengthening tending to be found at word edges. Because total lengthening is no greater in polysyllables than in monosyllables, the effect on particular subconstituents is attenuated when the word contains more syllables.

Word-rhyme compression is proposed to account for variation in nucleus duration according to the number of subsequent syllables in accented and unaccented words. Because it is the only domain-span process supported, it may be theoretically preferable to interpret word-rhyme compression as a domain-edge effect at the word level, similar to utterance-final lengthening but affecting nuclei rather than codas within the locus.



This dissertation is my own work, and describes research that I have carried out. No part of this dissertation has been submitted for any other degree or professional qualification.

Laurence White



To my Mum and my Dad  
with all my love.



# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 The domain and locus in speech timing</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Lengthening and shortening . . . . .	2
1.1.2 Cause and effect in speech timing . . . . .	3
1.2 Sources of durational variation . . . . .	5
1.2.1 Segmental factors . . . . .	5
1.2.2 Syllabic factors . . . . .	6
1.2.3 Suprasyllabic factors . . . . .	6
1.2.4 Speech rate . . . . .	7
1.3 A descriptive framework . . . . .	9
1.3.1 The concept of the domain . . . . .	9
1.3.2 The concept of the locus . . . . .	10
1.3.3 A domain-and-locus description . . . . .	13
1.4 Research questions . . . . .	20
1.4.1 The structure of the dissertation . . . . .	21
<b>2 Suprasyllabic factors in durational variation</b>	<b>23</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	23
2.2 Syntactic constituents . . . . .	24
2.2.1 Domain-edge effects: final lengthening . . . . .	26
2.2.2 Domain-edge effects: initial lengthening and shortening . . . . .	35
2.2.3 Domain-span effects: polysyllabic shortening . . . . .	39
2.2.4 Domain-span effects: sentence-level shortening . . . . .	40
2.3 Prosodic constituents . . . . .	42
2.3.1 A prosodic hierarchy . . . . .	42
2.3.2 Prosodic constituents and speech timing . . . . .	45
2.4 Lexical stress . . . . .	55
2.4.1 The concept of speech rhythm . . . . .	55

2.4.2	Isochrony and the rhythmical foot . . . . .	57
2.4.3	Experimental studies of isochrony . . . . .	59
2.4.4	The stress adjacency effect . . . . .	63
2.4.5	The rhythmical foot as a linguistic unit . . . . .	69
2.5	Pitch accent . . . . .	74
2.5.1	The distribution of pitch accents . . . . .	74
2.5.2	The locus of accentual lengthening . . . . .	74
2.5.3	The domain of accentual lengthening . . . . .	77
2.6	Summary . . . . .	79
<b>3</b>	<b>Experiment 1: Evidence for a word-level durational effect</b>	<b>81</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	81
3.1.1	Polysyllabic shortening: a word-level effect . . . . .	81
3.1.2	Word-edge and word-span processes . . . . .	84
3.1.3	Experimental evidence for a word-level effect . . . . .	85
3.1.4	Alternative interpretations of the observed effect . . . . .	89
3.2	Experimental design . . . . .	93
3.2.1	Experimental purpose . . . . .	93
3.2.2	Experimental materials . . . . .	94
3.2.3	Predictions of the experimental hypotheses . . . . .	95
3.3	Experimental procedure . . . . .	99
3.3.1	Recording . . . . .	99
3.3.2	Experimental subjects . . . . .	101
3.3.3	Measurement of syllable duration . . . . .	101
3.3.4	Statistical analysis: procedure . . . . .	103
3.4	Results . . . . .	104
3.4.1	Experiment 1A: left-headed words; reduced central syllable . . . . .	105
3.4.2	Experiment 1B: left-headed words; full central syllable . . . . .	106
3.4.3	Experiment 1C: right-headed words; reduced central syllable . . . . .	107
3.4.4	Experiment 1D: right-headed words; full central syllable . . . . .	108
3.4.5	General discussion of the experimental results . . . . .	110
3.5	Discussion . . . . .	118
3.5.1	The mechanism of the word-level effect . . . . .	118
3.5.2	The interaction between pitch accent and word-level effects . . . . .	124
3.6	Summary . . . . .	126
<b>4</b>	<b>Experiment 2: Domain-edge and domain-span processes</b>	<b>129</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	129
4.1.1	Background . . . . .	129

4.1.2	Experimental hypotheses . . . . .	130
4.1.3	Experimental design considerations . . . . .	137
4.2	Experimental design . . . . .	145
4.2.1	Experimental materials . . . . .	145
4.3	Experimental procedure . . . . .	153
4.3.1	Recording . . . . .	153
4.3.2	Experimental subjects . . . . .	154
4.3.3	Measurement of syllable duration . . . . .	155
4.3.4	Statistical analysis . . . . .	159
4.4	Results overview . . . . .	160
4.5	Utterance-span results . . . . .	165
4.5.1	Results and analysis . . . . .	166
4.5.2	Discussion . . . . .	174
4.6	Word-edge and word-span results . . . . .	177
4.6.1	Results and analysis . . . . .	178
4.6.2	Discussion . . . . .	194
4.7	Utterance-initial results . . . . .	199
4.7.1	Results and analysis . . . . .	200
4.7.2	Discussion . . . . .	205
4.8	Utterance-final results . . . . .	209
4.8.1	Results and analysis . . . . .	210
4.8.2	Discussion . . . . .	222
4.9	Accentual lengthening results . . . . .	226
4.9.1	Results and analysis . . . . .	227
4.9.2	Discussion . . . . .	233
4.10	Discussion: the concept of the locus . . . . .	237
4.10.1	Domain-edge processes . . . . .	238
4.10.2	Domain-span processes . . . . .	239
4.11	Summary . . . . .	241
<b>5</b>	<b>General discussion</b> . . . . .	<b>245</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	245
5.2	Domain-span processes . . . . .	246
5.2.1	Polysyllabic shortening . . . . .	246
5.2.2	Word-rhyme compression . . . . .	250
5.2.3	Utterance-span compression . . . . .	252
5.3	Domain-edge processes . . . . .	254
5.3.1	Initial lengthening . . . . .	254
5.3.2	Final lengthening . . . . .	257

5.4	Pitch accent . . . . .	262
5.4.1	Accentual lengthening in stressed syllables . . . . .	262
5.4.2	Accentual lengthening in unstressed syllables . . . . .	264
5.4.3	The domain of accentual lengthening . . . . .	268
5.5	Compensatory effects . . . . .	269
5.5.1	Evidence for compensatory shortening . . . . .	270
5.5.2	Interpretations of compensatory shortening . . . . .	272
5.6	Summary . . . . .	274
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>275</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	275
6.2	A model of English suprasyllabic speech timing . . . . .	277
6.2.1	Sources of lengthening . . . . .	277
6.2.2	The nature of suprasyllabic timing processes . . . . .	281
6.3	Directions for future research . . . . .	286
6.4	Summary . . . . .	291
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>293</b>
	<i>Appendices</i>	
<b>A</b>	<b>Speech segmentation criteria</b>	<b>301</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Experiment 1: Measured syllable duration by phrase</b>	<b>303</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Experiment 2: Sentence materials</b>	<b>307</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Experiment 2: Excluded utterances</b>	<b>313</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>Experiment 2: Keyword labelling</b>	<b>317</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>Experiment 2: Results overview</b>	<b>321</b>
<b>G</b>	<b>Experiment 2: Additional utterance-span results</b>	<b>323</b>
<b>H</b>	<b>Experiment 2: Additional word-edge and word-span results</b>	<b>329</b>
<b>I</b>	<b>Experiment 2: Additional utterance-final results</b>	<b>333</b>
<b>J</b>	<b>Experiment 2: Additional pitch accent results</b>	<b>335</b>

# Acknowledgements

I have greatly benefited from the help of many people during the production of this dissertation, and I would like to thank the following colleagues and friends for their particular contributions:

—my supervisors Alice Turk and Steve Isard, for sharing their knowledge and experience, for their encouragement and their attention to detail and, latterly, for their long-distance draft-reading.

—my examiners Bob Ladd and Jan van Santen, for their helpful and encouraging comments and for a very interesting discussion.

—present and former computing and technical staff of the Linguistics Department, including Mike Bennett, Morag Brown, Norman Dryden, Eddie Dubourg, Irene Macleod, Cedric Macmartin and Stewart Smith, for their friendly and highly effective assistance; also Rob Clark, for his unerring technical advice.

—present and former administrative staff of the Linguistics Department, including Fiona Gilbert, Lynda Hutchison and Ethel Jack, for ever-willing assistance.

—present and former staff and students of the Linguistics Department, for contributing to a friendly and stimulating working environment.

—Louise Kelly, Simon King, Ineke Mennen and Astrid Schepman, for their tremendous help, advice, kindness and encouragement during my time in the Linguistics Department.

—Marcus Ackroyd and Lucy Ellis, for blazing the Sisyphean trail.

—the Monday lunchtime five-a-siders, for getting the week started in my Edinburgh days.

I would also like to thank my family and my friends, for their encouragement and for all their support in recent years.

To do justice to the positive contribution of Sarah Davies to my life over the time that I have been writing this dissertation would require more pages than follow this one. My thanks are unbounded.

